A Probabilistic Two-Pile Game

Ho-Hon Leung
Department of Mathematical Sciences
United Arab Emirates University
Al Ain, 15551
United Arab Emirates
hohon.leung@uaeu.ac.ae

Thotsaporn "Aek" Thanatipanonda
Science Division
Mahidol University International College
Nakornpathom
Thailand
thotsaporn@gmail.com

Abstract

We consider a game with two piles in which two players take turns to add a or b chips (a, b are not necessarily positive) randomly and independently to their respective piles. The player who collects at least n chips first wins the game. We derive general formulas for p_n, the probability of the second player winning the game by collecting n chips first and show the calculation for the cases $\{a, b\} = \{-1, 1\}$ and $\{-1, 2\}$. The latter case was asked by Wong and Xu. At the end, we derive the general formula for p_{n_1,n_2}, the probability of the second player winning the game by collecting n_2 chips before the first player collects n_1 chips.

1 Introduction

Game is a source of motivation to do mathematics. The will to win a game is a motivation to determine out the mathematics behind it. There is evidence that pile games have been played since ancient times. For example, Nim and Wythoff, and their variants are some of the classical pile games. In such games, two players take turns to remove chips from the existing pile(s). The rule for removing chips from the pile(s) varies with the game. In Nim, a game with multiple piles, each player may remove any number of chips from one of the available piles, and the player who takes the last chip loses the game (misere game). In some other games, the player who takes the last chip wins the game (normal play). In Wythoff, a game with two piles, a player is allowed to remove any number of chips from one or both piles. When removing chips from both piles, the number of chips removed from each pile must be equal. The player who takes the last chip (remove any chip) wins the game. These games are quite well known and well studied. For example, the "bible of combinatorial game theory", *Winning ways for your Mathematical Plays*, written by Berlekamp, Conway, and Guy [1] is a good reference for a mathematical introduction to these games.

Recently, Wong and Xu [6] studied a game where two players take turns to collect randomly and independently a specific number of chips to build their own piles. The player who collects n chips first is the winner, where is n is non-negative integer. In this paper, we consider a more general version of the game investigated by them. Formally speaking, both players start to play without any chip. At every turn, each player flips a fair coin to decide whether to add a or b chips, where a, b are *not necessarily positive*, to their own piles. The player who collects n chips first is the winner.

Let the random variable S_k be the number of chips collected by a player on his k^{th} move, and let A be the first player and B be the second player. The chance that B wins the game by collecting n chips first is

$$p_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(\text{A does not win on his } k^{\text{th}} \text{ move}) \cdot P(\text{B wins on his } k^{\text{th}} \text{ move}). \tag{1}$$

Important notation

- p_n = the probability for the second player to win the game by collecting n chips first.
- $q(n,k)$ = the probability that a player does not win the game on his k^{th} move, i.e., he never collects n chips on or before his k^{th} move.
- $r(n,k)$ = the probability that a player collects n chips for the first time on his k^{th} move.

The equation (1) can be written as follows:

$$p_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q(n,k) \cdot r(n,k) \tag{2}$$

where $q(n,k) = P(S_j < n \text{ for all } j = 0,1,\ldots,k)$ and $r(n,k) = P(S_k \geq n \text{ and } S_j < n \text{ for all } j = 0,1,\ldots,k-1)$.

A nice connection between the probabilities $q(n,k)$ and $r(n,k)$ exists as follows:

Lemma 1. *For any positive integer n,*

$$r(n,k) = q(n,k-1) - q(n,k), \qquad k \geq 1.$$

Proof. We prove the lemma by the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} r(n,k) &= P(S_k \geq n \text{ and } S_j < n, \text{ for all } j = 0,1,\ldots,k-1) \\ &= P(S_k \geq n) - P(S_{k-1} \geq n) \\ &= (1 - q(n,k)) - (1 - q(n,k-1)) \\ &= q(n,k-1) - q(n,k). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Remark 2. By Lemma 1 and the fact that $q(n,0) = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$, we write $q(n,k)$ where $k \geq 1$, by

$$q(n,k) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{k} r(n,j). \tag{3}$$

The following lemma works under the condition that the game will not continue indefinitely (the probability that either one of the players wins the game is 1).

Lemma 3. *Let n be a fixed positive integer. If $\lim_{k\to\infty} q(n,k) = 0$ (i.e., $a + b \geq 0$; otherwise there is a positive chance that the game will not end), then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \big(q(n,k-1) + q(n,k)\big) \cdot r(n,k) = 1.$$

Proof. We prove the lemma by the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \big(q(n,k-1) + q(n,k)\big) \cdot r(n,k) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \big(q(n,k-1) + q(n,k)\big) \cdot \big(q(n,k-1) - q(n,k)\big) \qquad \text{from Lemma 1} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \big(q^2(n,k-1) - q^2(n,k)\big) \\ &= q^2(n,0) - \lim_{k\to\infty} q^2(n,k) \qquad = 1. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Remark 4. Alternatively, Lemma 3 can also be proven combinatorially as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= P(\text{ first player wins}) + P(\text{ second player wins}) + P(\text{ nobody wins}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(n,k)q(n,k-1) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q(n,k)r(n,k) + \lim_{k\to\infty} q^2(n,k). \end{aligned}$$

In Theorem 5 below, we write the probability p_n in terms of the probabilities $r(n,k)$ only.

Theorem 5. *If* $\lim_{k\to\infty} q(n,k) = 0$, *then* $p_n = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(n,k)$.

Proof. By definition,

$$p_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q(n,k) \cdot r(n,k).$$

On the other hand, based on Lemma 3, we have

$$p_n = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q(n,k-1) \cdot r(n,k).$$

By combining the two equations above, we obtain

$$p_n = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \big(q(n,k-1) - q(n,k)\big) \cdot r(n,k).$$

Then, the result follows by applying Lemma 1. □

In order to find p_n, by Theorem 5, we just need to compute the probabilities $r(n,k)$ and find their sum of squares. For the case $\{a,b\} = \{1,2\}$, Wong and Xu [6, Theorem 3] obtained the following expression for the probability $r(n,k)$:

$$r(n,k) = \frac{1}{2^k}\left(\binom{k}{n-k} + \binom{k-1}{n-k}\right).$$

Although it can be shown that the expression $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(n,k)$ does not have a closed-form formula (strictly speaking, the sum is not Gosper-summable. We refer to Chapter 8 of the book written by Petkovsek, Wilf and Zeilberger [3] as reference), yet its approximation was achieved by Wong and Xu [6, p.12]. In particular, they showed that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(n,k) \sim \sqrt{\frac{27}{8\pi n}}$$

when n is large.

Furthermore, they worked on the cases $a > 0$, $b > 0$ and asked the readers to investigate the case $\{a,b\} = \{-1,2\}$. Here we will attempt to answer their question and similarly provide an answer to the case $\{a,b\} = \{-1,1\}$.

This paper comes with the Maple program `Piles` which can be found on the second author's website: www.thotsaporn.com.

2 The case $\{a, b\} = \{-1, 1\}$

Each player adds or removes one chip with a probability 1/2 to or from his pile. The pile is allowed to have a negative number of chips. The first player who collects n chips wins the game.

If $n = 0$, then the first player will always win the game as both players start to play without any chip. The probability for the second player to win the game is 0, i.e., $p_0 = 0$.

2.1 The winning probability for the first non-trivial case: $n = 1$

In this subsection, we simplify our notation slightly. The probabilities $q(1, k)$ and $r(1, k)$ defined in Section 1 are abbreviated to $q(k)$ and $r(k)$ respectively.

Let $C(k)$ be the number of ways for a player to have no chip on his k^{th} move without ever collecting one chip (so the game still continues). At this point, the reader may notice that the number $C(k)$ is related to the well-known Catalan numbers. In fact, for $m \geq 1$, we have

$$C(2m - 1) = 0$$

and

$$C(2m) = \frac{\binom{2m}{m}}{m + 1}.$$

The probability that the second player collects one chip for the first time on his k^{th} move is

$$r(k) = \frac{C(k - 1)}{2^k}$$

because the player has no chip on his $(k-1)^{\text{th}}$ move; and on his next move he is required to collect one chip to win the game. Hence, for $m \geq 1$, we have

$$r(2m) = 0$$

and

$$r(2m - 1) = \frac{(2m - 2)!}{m!(m - 1)!} \cdot \frac{2}{4^m}.$$

We therefore applied Theorem 5 to find p_1, the probability of the second player winning the game by collecting one chip first. Firstly, we needed to verify that the condition $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} q(k) = 0$ is true, i.e., the probability that one of the players wins the game by collecting one chip is 1. Equivalently, by (3), we needed to show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(k) = 1. \tag{4}$$

There are, however, a number of ways to evaluate this sum. The second author's favorite tool for evaluating geometric sums (the binomial sum is a geometric sum) is Gosper's Algorithm. The algorithm's details were beautifully explained in Chapter 5 of Petkovsek, Wilf, and Zeilberger's [3]. This algorithm has been implemented in all major symbolic computation programs such as Maple and Mathematica. For example, when one types in

```
sum( (2*m-2)!/m!/(m-1)!*2/4^m, m=1..M);
```

in Maple, it will then return the expression $1 - \frac{(2M)!}{M!M!4^M}$. By an application of the Stirling formula: $n! \approx \sqrt{2\pi n}\left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$, we note that

$$1 - \frac{(2M)!}{M!M!4^M} \to 1 \text{ as } M \to \infty.$$

Hence, the equation (4) is true.

We are ready to find p_1 by Theorem 5. To evaluate

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(k),$$

in Maple, we type

```
sum( ( (2*m-2)!/m!/(m-1)!*2/4^m )^2, m=1..infinity);
```

in Maple, it will then return $\frac{4}{\pi} - 1$. By Theorem 5,

$$p_1 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{4}{\pi} - 1\right) \approx 0.3633802277.$$

Hence, we easily obtained the value of p_1 by applying Theorem 5 and the summation tools in Maple.

2.2 The winning probabilities for the cases $n \geq 2$

Analogously, let $C(n,k)$ be the number of ways for a player to have $n-1$ chips on his k^{th} move without ever collecting n chips.

Lemma 6. *For $n \geq 0$, $k \geq 1$ such that $n - k \equiv 0 \pmod 2$, we have*

$$C(n,k) = 0.$$

Otherwise, for $s \geq 0$, we have

$$C(2s+1, 2m) = \frac{2s+1}{m+s+1}\binom{2m}{m-s}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 0,$$
$$C(2s, 2m-1) = \frac{s}{m}\binom{2m}{m-s}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 1.$$

Proof. The main recurrence relation for the numbers $C(n,k)$ is

$$C(n,k) = C(n-1,k-1) + C(n+1,k-1), \quad n \geq 1, \quad k \geq 1.$$

If the first move is 1, then the number of ways for a player to have $n-1$ chips on his k^{th} move is $C(n-1,k-1)$. On the other hand, if the first move is -1, then this number is $C(n+1,k-1)$. We rearranged the terms and shifted the variables to obtain

$$C(n,k) = C(n-1,k+1) - C(n-2,k), \quad n \geq 2, \quad k \geq 0. \tag{5}$$

Then, the results follow by induction on n where base cases are given by

$$C(0,k) = 0, \quad C(1,2m-1) = 0 \text{ and } C(1,2m) = \frac{\binom{2m}{m}}{m+1}.$$

It is also important to note that $C(n,0) = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ except $C(1,0) = 1$.

□

We proceeded in the same manner as in Section 2.1. The following relation between the numbers $r(n,k)$ and $C(n,k-1)$ is true for $n \geq 0$:

$$r(n,k) = \frac{C(n,k-1)}{2^k}. \tag{6}$$

By Lemma 6, for $n \geq 0$, $k \geq 1$ and $n-k \equiv 1 \pmod 2$, we have

$$r(n,k) = 0. \tag{7}$$

Otherwise, for $s \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(2s+1,2m+1) &= \frac{C(2s+1,2m)}{2^{2m+1}} = \frac{2s+1}{(m+s+1)\cdot 2 \cdot 4^m}\binom{2m}{m-s}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 0, \\ r(2s,2m) &= \frac{C(2s,2m-1)}{2^{2m}} = \frac{s}{m \cdot 4^m}\binom{2m}{m-s}, \quad \text{for } m \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

To apply Theorem 5, it is necessary to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *For each $n \geq 1$, the probability that one of the players wins the game (by collecting n chips first) is 1, i.e.,*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(n,k) = 1.$$

Proof. This can be done by induction on n. By (5) and (6), we have the following recurrence relation for the numbers $r(n,k)$:

$$\begin{aligned} r(n,k) &= \frac{C(n,k-1)}{2^k} = \frac{C(n-1,k)}{2^k} - \frac{C(n-2,k-1)}{2^k} \\ &= 2r(n-1,k+1) - r(n-2,k). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

By (4), we note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(1,k) = 1. \tag{9}$$

For $n = 2$, by (8) and (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(2,k) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \big(2r(1,k+1) - r(0,k)\big) = 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(1,k+1) + 2r(1,1) - 2r(1,1)\\ &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(1,k) - 2r(1,1) = 2 - 1 = 1\end{aligned}$$

since $r(0,k) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$.

For the cases $n \geq 3$, by (8) and the inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(n,k) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \big(2r(n-1,k+1) - r(n-2,k)\big)\\ &= 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(n-1,k) - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(n-2,k) = 2 - 1 = 1\end{aligned}$$

since $r(n-1,1) = 0$ for all $n \geq 3$. $\square$

Finally, we are in the position to apply Theorem 5 for each value of n. For example, to evaluate $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(2,k)$, we type

```
sum( (binomial(2*m,m-1)/m/4^m)^2 ,m=1..infinity);
```

and then Maple will return $\frac{16}{\pi} - 5$. By Theorem 5,

$$p_2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{16}{\pi} - 5\right) \approx 0.4535209109.$$

For $n \geq 3$, we list some values of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(n,k)$ as follows:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(3,k) = \frac{236}{3\pi} - 25,$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(4,k) = \frac{1216}{3\pi} - 129,$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(5,k) = \frac{32092}{15\pi} - 681,$$
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(6,k) = \frac{172144}{15\pi} - 3653.$$

The corresponding values of p_n are

$$p_3 \approx 0.4798111434,$$
$$p_4 \approx 0.4891964033,$$
$$p_5 \approx 0.4933044576,$$
$$p_6 \approx 0.4954322531.$$

There is an interesting pattern for the values of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(n,k)$ for $n \geq 1$. In fact, let T_n be $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(n,k)$. The terms T_n appear to satisfy a recurrence relation with polynomial coefficients:

$$(n+3)T_{n+3} - (7n+16)T_{n+2} + (7n+5)T_{n+1} - nT_n = 0. \tag{10}$$

(The guessing recurrence relation was found by a holonomic ansatz, i.e., we assume that the sequence T_n satisfies a relation of the form

$$(a_0 n + b_0)T_n + (a_1 n + b_1)T_{n+1} + \cdots + (a_N n + b_N)T_{n+N} = 0$$

for some specific N. Then, by plugging in values of $n, T_n, T_{n+1}, \ldots, T_{n+N}$, say, for $n = 1, 2, \ldots, 30$, we can solve the system of linear equations for the unknowns a_i and b_i.) The authors believe that it can be shown formally by Zeilberger's algorithm [3, Chapter 6] and Zeilberger's Maple package `Ekhad`. However, since the terms T_n are separated into two cases (n is odd or n is even), the proof may not be straightforward.

Based on the recurrence relation (10), we obtain the asymptotic approximation of T_n (see Wimp and Zeilberger [5]) as follows:

$$T_n = \frac{1}{\pi n^2}\left(1 + \frac{1}{n^2} + \frac{19}{4n^4} + \frac{107}{2n^6} + \dots\right) + O(\alpha^n)$$

for some α such that $|\alpha| < 1$.

2.3 The winning probability within k moves

The case $\{a, b\} = \{-1, 1\}$ is unique in the sense that we were able to provide response to more questions than initially asked. In this subsection, for a fixed positive integer n, we find the probability that the second player wins the game within k moves. Similarly, it can be resolved by employing the Gosper's algorithm!

First, we demonstrate it for the case $n = 1$. The other cases can be carried out in the same manner, but case by case. We recall from Section 2.1 that

$$r(2m) = 0$$

and

$$r(2m-1) = \frac{(2m-2)!}{m!(m-1)!} \cdot \frac{2}{4^m}.$$

By Remark 2, we have

$$q(2m) = q(2m-1) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m} r(2j-1) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{(2j-2)!}{j!(j-1)!} \cdot \frac{2}{4^j}.$$

This finite sum is Gosper-summable. In Maple, we type

```
simplify( 1-sum( (2*j-2)!*2/j!/(j-1)!/4^j ,j=1..m));
```

and the output is $\frac{\binom{2m}{m}}{4^m}$. (The expression is so nice! It is screaming for a combinatorial explanation!)

Lastly, the probability that the second player wins the game within k moves (the partial sum of (2)) is

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{k} q(i)r(i) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} q(2j-1)r(2j-1) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\binom{2j}{j}}{4^j} \frac{(2j-2)!}{j!(j-1)!} \cdot \frac{2}{4^j} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor} \binom{2j}{j}^2 \cdot \frac{1}{16^j} \cdot \frac{1}{2j-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

In Maple, we type

```
sum(binomial(2*j,j)^2/16^j/(2*j-1),j=1..L);
```

and then we again have a closed-form solution. The probability that the second player wins the game within k moves is

$$1 - \frac{2L+1}{16^L}\binom{2L}{L}^2, \quad \text{where } L = \left\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \right\rfloor .$$

2.4 Average duration of a game

The work in the previous section now raises a question on the duration of a game. Hence, suggesting a potential research topic looking into the average duration of different games. As a reference, we refer to the work carried out by Robinson and Vijay [4] on the duration of the game *Dreidel*.

Let the random variable X be the number of moves required to end the game.

Theorem 8. *For any take-away move $\{a, b\}$ where a and b are not necessarily positive, the average duration of the game is $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q(n,k)^2$.*

Proof. We compute $E[X]$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
E[X] &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \cdot P(\text{ the game ends at the } k^{\text{th}} \text{ turn}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \cdot \big(q(n,k-1)r(n,k) + q(n,k)r(n,k)\big) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \cdot \Big(q(n,k-1)^2 - q(n,k)^2\Big) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q(n,k-1)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows by shifting the index k by 1. □

Corollary 9. *For the case $\{a,b\} = \{-1,1\}$, $E[X] = \infty$ for any $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. For $n = 1$, by our computation of the probability $q(k)$ in Section 2.3, we have

$$E[X] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q(k)^2 = 1 + 2 \cdot \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\binom{2m}{m}}{4^m} \right)^2 = \infty.$$

The last equality was found by Maple. But we can also show that it is true by the following approximation:

$$\left(\frac{\binom{2m}{m}}{4^m}\right)^2 \approx \frac{1}{\pi m} \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

The sum indeed diverges, but the rate of divergence is as slow as the harmonic series. For $n > 1$, it is clear that the average duration of the game will be longer than the average duration of the game for the case $n = 1$. Therefore, $E[X] = \infty$ holds true for $n > 1$. □

3 The case $\{a, b\} = \{-1, 2\}$

For this case, each player is allowed to add two chips to his pile or remove one chip from his pile; each with probability 1/2. The pile is allowed to have a negative number of chips. The first player who collects n chips wins the game.

Let $D(n, k)$ be the number of ways for a player to have $n-1$ or $n-2$ chips on his k^{th} move without ever collecting n chips (thus allowing the game to continue).

Lemma 10. *The numbers $D(n, k)$ satisfy the following recurrence relation:*

$$D(n, k) = D(n-1, k+1) - D(n-3, k)$$

with base cases $D(-1, k) = D(0, k) = 0$ and

$$D(1, 3m) = \frac{\binom{3m}{m}}{2m+1}, \quad D(1, 3m+1) = \frac{\binom{3m+1}{m+1}}{2m+1}, \quad D(1, 3m+2) = 0.$$

Proof. The recurrence relation arises from whether the first move is $+2$ or -1, i.e.,

$$D(n, k) = D(n-2, k-1) + D(n+1, k-1).$$

After shifting indexes and rearranging terms, we obtained the desired recurrence relation.

For the base cases, the sequence of non-negative integers $D(1, 3m)$ is a generalization of Catalan numbers. More precisely, the number $D(1, 3m)$ is the number of ways for the permutation of $2m$ copies of -1 and m copies of 2 such that the partial sum is never greater than 0. It is the sequence A001764 in OEIS and was named 3-*Raney sequences* [2, Section 7.5]. Similarly, the number $D(1, 3m+1)$ is the number of ways for the permutation of $2m+1$ copies of -1 and m copies of 2 such that the partial sum is never greater than 0. It is the sequence A006013 in OEIS. □

We have the following relation

$$r(n, k) = \frac{D(n, k-1)}{2^k}$$

because the player has $n-1$ or $n-2$ chips on his $(k-1)^{\text{th}}$ move; and on his next move he is required to collect two chips to win the game. Also, it is intuitively clear that the number $q(n,k)$ in this case is less than or equal to $q(n,k)$ for the case $\{a,b\}=\{-1,1\}$ for any fixed n and k. Therefore, $\lim_{k\to\infty} q(n,k)=0$ for each $n\geq 0$. For each $n\geq 1$, we evaluate $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(n,k)$ and apply Theorem 5 to find the winning probability of the second player. However, this sum is not Gosper-summable, i.e., there is no nice closed-form formula for the partial sum; and these sums do not appear to converge to any famous constant either. We list some of their numerical values in Table 1 below.

n	$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(n,k)$	p_n
1	0.3221721826105...	0.33891390869471156...
2	0.2886887304423...	0.35565563477884626...
3	0.1547549217692...	0.42262253911538507...
4	0.1241072133089...	0.43794639334553199...
5	0.0941564190484...	0.45292179047578731...
10	0.047917368748...	0.47604131562562199...
20	0.028469734522...	0.48576513273891113...
100	0.010952807500...	0.49452359624969611...

Table 1: The values of $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(n,k)$ and p_n for some n

4 A remark on Theorem 5

We would like to find an analog of Theorem 5 when players have the same set of moves (add a or b chips); but now the first player wins the game if he collects n_1 chips first and the second player wins the game if he collects n_2 chips first.

For a fixed set of moves $\{a,b\}$, let p_{n_1,n_2} be the probability that the second player collects n_2 chips before the first player collects n_1 chips. Then

$$p_{n_1,n_2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q(n_1,k)\cdot r(n_2,k). \tag{11}$$

Similarly, the probability that the first player collects n_1 chips before the second player collects n_2 chips is

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q(n_2,k-1)\cdot r(n_1,k).$$

Assuming that the game will not continue indefinitely (the probability that either one of the players wins the game is 1), i.e., $a + b \geq 0$, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q(n_2, k-1) \cdot r(n_1, k) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q(n_1, k) \cdot r(n_2, k) = 1.$$

By Lemma 1, we have a generalization of Theorem 5 as follows:

Proposition 11. *For a fixed set of moves $\{a, b\}$, let p_{n_1,n_2} be the probability that the second player collects n_2 chips before the first player collects n_1 chips. If the probability that either one of the players wins the game is 1, then*

$$p_{n_1,n_2} + p_{n_2,n_1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(n_1, k) \cdot r(n_2, k) = 1.$$

4.1 The probability p_{n_1,n_2} for the case $\{a, b\} = \{-1, 1\}$

We list some values of p_{n_1,n_2} obtained by the equation (11) in Table 2 below. Note again that, for any fixed n, the probability $q(n, k)$ exhibits a nice closed-form expression in k. For example, in Section 2.3, we obtained

$$q(1, 2m) = q(1, 2m-1) = \frac{\binom{2m}{m}}{4^m}.$$

Based on the closed-form expression of $q(n, k)$ and Lemma 1, we can simplify the infinite sums in the equation (11) nicely for different values of n_1 and n_2. For more values of p_{n_1,n_2}, one can use the function `Win2(`n_1`, `n_2`)` in the accompanied Maple program.

5 Future Work

Based on our work above, we recommend the following open problems:

1. Formally prove that the guessing recurrence relation (10) of the terms $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(n, k)$ (where $n \geq 0$) for the case $\{a, b\} = \{-1, 1\}$ is true.
2. Find a recurrence relation for the terms $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r^2(n, k)$ (where $n \geq 0$) for the case $\{a, b\} = \{-1, 2\}$ and then find an asymptotic approximation of it.
3. In this paper, we allow the number of chips in the pile to be negative. As an alternative way to play the game, one may investigate the scenario where the players are not allowed to have a negative number of chips, i.e., the number of chips stays at 0 when the player gets to take a negative number of chips.

$n_1 \setminus n_2$	1	2	3	4	5
1	$\frac{\pi - 2}{\pi}$	$\frac{4 - \pi}{\pi}$	$\frac{10 - 3\pi}{\pi}$	$\frac{3\pi - 8}{3\pi}$	$\frac{15\pi - 46}{3\pi}$
2	$\frac{2(\pi - 2)}{\pi}$	$\frac{3\pi - 8}{\pi}$	$\frac{2(10 - 3\pi)}{\pi}$	$\frac{3(16 - 5\pi)}{\pi}$	$\frac{2(15\pi - 46)}{3\pi}$
3	$\frac{3\pi - 2}{3\pi}$	$\frac{7\pi - 20}{\pi}$	$\frac{39\pi - 118}{3\pi}$	$\frac{296 - 93\pi}{3\pi}$	$\frac{5(142 - 45\pi)}{3\pi}$
4	$\frac{8}{3\pi}$	$\frac{16 - 3\pi}{3\pi}$	$\frac{8(12\pi - 37)}{3\pi}$	$\frac{195\pi - 608}{3\pi}$	$\frac{8(63 - 20\pi)}{\pi}$
5	$\frac{5\pi - 2}{5\pi}$	$\frac{92 - 27\pi}{3\pi}$	$\frac{926 - 285\pi}{15\pi}$	$\frac{7(23\pi - 72)}{\pi}$	$\frac{5115\pi - 16046}{15\pi}$

Table 2: The winning probability of the second player, p_{n_1,n_2}

6 Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the editor-in-chief and to the referee(s) for their useful comments and suggestions. We are also grateful to Ramesh Boonratana for his generous assistance in proofreading the article.

References

[1] E. Berlekamp, J. Conway, and R. Guy, *Winning Ways for your Mathematical Plays. Vol. 2*, Second edition, A. K. Peters, 2001.

[2] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Second edition, Addison-Wesley, 1994.

[3] M. Petkovsek, H. Wilf, and D. Zeilberger, $A = B$, First edition, A. K. Peters, 1996.

[4] T. Robinson and S. Vijay, Dreidel Lasts $O(N^2)$ Spins, *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **36** (2006), 85–94.

[5] J. Wimp and D. Zeilberger, Resurrecting the asymptotics of linear recurrences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **111** (1985), 162–177 .

[6] T. W. H. Wong and J. Xu, A Probabilistic Take-Away Game, *J. Integer Sequences* **21** (2018). Article 18.6.3.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 91A60; Secondary 05A10, 11Y55, 60C05.
Keywords: take-away game, probability, Gosper's algorithm.

(Concerned with sequences A000108, A001764, and A006013)

Received ——; revised version received ——. Published in *Journal of Integer Sequences*, ————.

Return to Journal of Integer Sequences home page.